

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/755,467 | | 01/05/2001 | Robert J. Fletcher | SW7181US 4998 | |
| 22203 | 7590 | 08/09/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| KUSNER | | | HEWITT II, CALVIN L | | |
| HIGHLANI 6151 WILS | | SUITE 310 S ROAD | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | TS, OH 44143 | | 3621 | |

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | 1 4 11 11 | | ———— | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | f | | | | |
| Office Action Summan | | 09/755,467 | FLETCHER ET AL. | ! | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | The MAN INO DATE of the | Calvin L Hewitt II | 3621 | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sneet with the d | orresponaence addi | ress | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | munication. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M | av 2004. | | | | | | |
| • • | · · · · <u> </u> | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | • | s application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1,4-11,14-22,25-32 and 35-39 is/are page 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4-11,14-22,25-32, and 35-39 is/are claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | <u>-</u> | | | | | | |
| 44) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex- | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO | -152 . | | | | |
| Priority ι | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioring application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National St | age | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ite | | | | | |
| | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-1 | 52) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/755,467
 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1, 4-11, 14-22, 25-32, and 35-39 have been examined.

Response to Amendment/Argument

2. Applicant's arguments filed 26 May 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The combined prior art continues to read on the Applicant's claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4-11, 14-22, 25-32, and 35-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shkedy, U.S. Patent No. 6,260,024 in view of Hartman et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,960,411 and Herman et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,341,353.

Application/Control Number: 09/755,467 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

As per claims 1, 4-11, 14-22, 25-32, and 35-39, Shkedy teaches an internet procurement system comprising:

- means for receiving and transmitting user private procurement transactions, a supplier system (figure 1)
- security means operable only by the user for electronically authenticating user communications (e.g. orders, confirmations of receipt, etc.) in a manner that associates a user with a message (e.g. nonrepudiation)
- (figures 3, 4 and 12-14; column 7, lines 5-12; column 21, lines 25-51;
 column 23, lines 13-37; column 24, lines 40-54; column 27, lines 35-62)
- a supplier system for receiving authenticated user messages, transmitting transaction information, processing user orders and interfacing with a supplier legacy system (figures 1 and 10)
- a secure procurement system comprising communication means for transmitting and receiving data between a user system and a supplier system (figure 1)
- a database server comprising authenticated private procurement transactions and a product catalog (figures 2, 2A and 5)
- a certificate authority for facilitating the authentication of procurement transactions through the use of certificates (e.g. issuing certificates to a user) and generating unique encryption/decryption keys to each user

Application/Control Number: 09/755,467

Art Unit: 3621

(figure 16; column 10, lines 1-25; column 21, lines 24-44; column 24, lines 40-54; column/line 25/30-26/19)

- a connection linking supplier, buyer, procurement and certificate systems
 to provide a secured connection between nodes and a verifiable chain of
 custody for goods and services procured by a user (figures 1-16; column
 24, lines 40-54; column 25, lines 30-61)
- means for performing business rules analysis using the order, notification or confirmation of receipt (figures 1-16; column 27, lines 35-62)
- means for alarming potential instances of diversion or loss of goods/services (figures 2A and 12-16)
- a secure procurement system that communicates with the user and the certificate authority to authenticate that the user is entitled to order goods and services (figure 16; column/line 14/52-15/5; column25, lines 30-61)

Shkedy teaches an arbitration process (column 27, lines 35-62) where the arbiter reviews evidentiary documents from the buyer and/or seller detailing shipping and order data to determine whether a party has fulfilled its obligations according to the order (column 27, lines 35-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill for the arbiter to obtain and collate transaction documents in order to shed light on the matter and render a correct decision.

Regarding EDI, Shkedy implements his system over communications networks such as the internet (column/line 8/55-9/2). Hence, it would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 09/755,467

Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

one of ordinary skill to exchange transaction data using any of the technologies accessible to one of ordinary skill such as SGML, EDI, SET or XML. Shkedy does not specifically recite notification of provision of goods/services. Hartman et al. teach notification of provision of goods and services (figures 1B and 5) and storing user's shipping address (figures 1A and 8A-B). However, neither Shkedy nor Hartman et al. explicitly recite initiating a time period within which to receive a confirmation of receipt corresponding to a notification. Herman et al. teach a smart receipt system for identifying potential fraud and using encryption technologies for nonrepudiation that comprises a time period for receiving a confirmation of receipt (column/line 22/20-23/5). Regarding "preventing further orders from the user". In order to present users with a fair and transparent system or market, it is well known to bar malicious users from participating in a financial system or market. Therefore, it would have obvious to combine Walker et al. with Hartman et al. in order to allow sellers to provide evidence of order fulfillment and to allow sellers to sell their items to an another, if the seller detects potential fraud in the buyer ('353, column 22, lines 55-65).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection
 presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Loyd Hewitt II whose telephone number is (703) 308-8057. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell, can be reached at (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks c/o Technology Center 2100

Art Unit: 3621

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687 (for formal communications intended for entry and after-final communications),

or:

(703) 746-5532 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, 7th Floor Receptionist.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Calvin Loyd Hewitt II

July 27, 2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTUR 3600